

PATENT

The Eclipse Group Docket No. ST02014USU (168-US-U1)

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 30-39, 44-59 & 79-88 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Specifically, the Examiner rejected claims 30-39 & 79-88 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Roh (2004/0196183) in view of Park (2003/0231132), and further in view of Stratton (6570531). Applicants have not amended independent the claims and are traversing all of the claim rejections. Applicants believe the claims to be in condition for allowance. Applicants believe that no new matter has been added by this response.

35 U.S.C. 103(a) Rejection Park in view of Stratton

The Examiner rejected claims 30-39 & 79-88 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Roh (2004/0196183, hereafter "Roh") in view of Park (2003/0231132, hereafter "Park"), and further in view of Stratton (6,570,531, hereafter "Stratton"). The Examiner asserts that Roh teaches or describes; "[t]he multi channels GPS receiver being programmed to search satellites signals with different levels of sensitivity search (see page 8-9, paragraph 95-100)." But, Roh does not teach or describe "selecting a channel of the multi-channel GPS receiver as a monitoring channel" as claimed in the independent claims (claim 1 used as an example).

In order to show a monitoring channel, the Examiner relies on Stratton; "A monitoring processing channel to monitor the position velocity and time (PVT) data to detect position error of satellite signals." But, Applicants do not simply claim a monitoring channel, they claim "selecting a channel of the multi-channel GPS receiver as a monitoring channel". Thus, the monitoring channel as claimed is not a hardwired channel or path as taught or described in Stratton, rather it is selected from among the multi-channel GPS receiver to be a monitoring

PATENT
The Eclipse Group Docket No. ST02014USU (168-US-U1)

channel. This is not described or taught by Stratton.

The Roh patent does not teach or describe a monitoring channel, but the Examiner relies on it to show "search satellites signals with different levels of sensitivity search". But, Applicants are not claiming channels using different levels of sensitivity search or low level of sensitivity searching. Rather, Applicants are claiming "monitoring the received GPS signals with the monitoring channel, where the monitoring channel employs a low-sensitivity search". Thus, the monitoring channel employs a low-sensitivity search and not just any channel of the multi-channel GPS receiver. If Roh does not teach or describe a monitoring channel or selecting a monitoring channel and the monitoring channel of Stratton is hard wired and not selected, then the combination of Roh, Park and Stratton can not show a monitoring channel that is selected and a monitoring channel that employs a low-sensitivity search. No suggestion can be found for a monitoring channel to employ a low-sensitivity search as claimed by the Applicants.

Therefore, Applicants submit that claims 30-39, 44-59 & 79-88 as now presented are in condition for allowance.

PATENT
The Eclipse Group Docket No. ST02014USU (168-US-U1)

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that claims 30-39, 44-59 & 79-88 as presented above are in condition for allowance and favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: _____

12/24/2008

Gregory B. Gulliver

Gregory B. Gulliver, No. 44,138
The Eclipse Group LLP
100 Tri-State Int'l, Suite 128
Lincolnshire, Illinois 60069
(847)282-3551 Telephone
(847)574-8035 Fax
gbg@eclipsegrp.com

Customer No.: 34408